G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1893–1894
~~1901~~.
(Muzrai)

File No. 76

Section XI
II.

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month May 1908

SUBJECT.

Passes orders re the affairs of the Sri Lakshmi-Narasimha Swami Temple at Hora Kere Devarapura Village, Holalakere Taluk, and revises the Scale of Expenditure and also ordering of appointing Cer gentlemen as dharmadarsies to supervise the affa of the above Institution

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

Mus. J No. 39-14
673 - 13
691 - 16

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat

G P B—3,000—25-9-07

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190

670
26.5.08

The Dy Commr recommends the abolition of the Kanikés & the substitution of Devar Kanikè. The draft order sanctions both. Why is this? Please revise draft HM 26/5/08

522
4.6.08

Resubmitted to the 2nd Councillor.

I have made a slight alteration in pencil in the draft and submitted for approval. I beg that the portions of the Dy Comrs letter which I have marked with red pencil, may be kindly perused GL

GL

3rd June 1908.

703
6.6.08

Draft approved HM 6/6/08.

Seen GL 6/6/08

File No.	of 190	-	Muzrai Secretariat

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

ಕ್ರ
2/10/05

ದಿ 26/9/05

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ನಿವೃತ್ತಿ ಹೊಂದಿದ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸನ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೆ. ಅವರು ಸಹ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಹಕಾರ ನೀಡಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಗುರುತಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸನ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಂಘದ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವರು ಸಂಘದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಹಕಾರ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ

ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮ ತಾಲೂಕು ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 3282, Enc-one.

Reminder put up

The accompanying Petition No. 1768

dated 22nd octr. 05 received at this Office from Shanbhogue Seshappa of Sri Lakminarasimha-swamy temple at Horakoodesoor-four, Holalkere taluk, praying for the recovery of certain paduvat amount offered to the said temple,

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy. commr. Chitaldrug Distt. for favor of enquiry and disposal or report, if necessary.

By Order,

7/2/06

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
12.2.1906.

No. 3283. 7/2/06

Note to the peta.

To
The Dy Commr
Chitaldrug Dt.

Dated 13.9.06

Received

Serial No 1690

Enclosure No 1

Have the honor to
forward herewith for
favour of report petn
Regd No 1850 from the
Devotees of Sri Lakshmi
Narasimhaswami Temple
at Hiriyakere Davangere Taluk
in the Hosdurga

2. The petrs represent that Ramasama who has been a Dharmadarsi of the institution for the past 13 years, has been mismanaging the income of the temple and they pray that he may be removed, and that one Seshappa who has been keeping regular accounts &c, appointed in his stead.

3. An early report upon all the other allegations of the petitioners is requested.

By order

[illegible] 5/9/06

[illegible] 8/9/06 for Musr Secy to Govt

1691 Encl 1 Petn

Receipt
8/7/06 1451
15/7

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಪ್ರತಿಭೂತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಾಲದ ಪೂರ್ಣ ಮೊತ್ತ ಮತ್ತು ಬಡ್ಡಿಯನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಿ ಬಿಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸಾಲಗಾರರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

ಸಾಲದ ಮೊತ್ತ ರೂ. 1000-0-0 ಸಾಲದ ಖಾತೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಪ್ರತಿಭೂತಿಯ ವಿವರ

ہے۔ اس کی طرف سے اس کے وکیل نے اس کے حق میں دلائل دیے۔ اس کے وکیل نے کہا کہ اس کے موکل کو اس کے والد کی وفات کے بعد اس کی جائیداد میں سے حصہ نہیں دیا گیا۔ اس کے وکیل نے کہا کہ اس کے موکل کو اس کے والد کی وفات کے بعد اس کی جائیداد میں سے حصہ نہیں دیا گیا۔ اس کے وکیل نے کہا کہ اس کے موکل کو اس کے والد کی وفات کے بعد اس کی جائیداد میں سے حصہ نہیں دیا گیا۔

اس کے بعد مدعا علیہ کے وکیل نے اپنے دلائل پیش کیے۔ اس کے وکیل نے کہا کہ مدعی کے والد نے اپنی زندگی میں اپنی جائیداد کا کچھ حصہ اپنے بیٹے کو دے دیا تھا۔ اس کے وکیل نے کہا کہ مدعی کے والد نے اپنی زندگی میں اپنی جائیداد کا کچھ حصہ اپنے بیٹے کو دے دیا تھا۔ اس کے وکیل نے کہا کہ مدعی کے والد نے اپنی زندگی میں اپنی جائیداد کا کچھ حصہ اپنے بیٹے کو دے دیا تھا۔

مدعا علیہ کے وکیل نے کہا کہ مدعی کے والد نے اپنی زندگی میں اپنی جائیداد کا کچھ حصہ اپنے بیٹے کو دے دیا تھا۔ اس کے وکیل نے کہا کہ مدعی کے والد نے اپنی زندگی میں اپنی جائیداد کا کچھ حصہ اپنے بیٹے کو دے دیا تھا۔ اس کے وکیل نے کہا کہ مدعی کے والد نے اپنی زندگی میں اپنی جائیداد کا کچھ حصہ اپنے بیٹے کو دے دیا تھ

ہمارے ملک میں بہت سے لوگ ایسے ہیں جو اپنی زندگی میں کبھی نہ کبھی کسی نہ کسی بیماری کا شکار ہوتے ہیں۔ ان میں سے بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کو چھپاتے ہیں اور بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کرواتے ہیں۔ ہمارے ملک میں بہت سے لوگ ایسے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔ ان میں سے بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔

ہمارے ملک میں بہت سے لوگ ایسے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔ ان میں سے بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔

ہمارے ملک میں بہت سے لوگ ایسے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔ ان میں سے بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔

ہمارے ملک میں بہت سے لوگ ایسے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔ ان میں سے بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔

ہمارے ملک میں بہت سے لوگ ایسے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔ ان میں سے بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔

ہمارے ملک میں بہت سے لوگ ایسے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔ ان میں سے بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔

ہمارے ملک میں بہت سے لوگ ایسے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔ ان میں سے بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔

ہمارے ملک میں بہت سے لوگ ایسے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔ ان میں سے بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔

ہمارے ملک میں بہت سے لوگ ایسے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔ ان میں سے بعض لوگ ایسے ہوتے ہیں جو اپنی بیماری کا علاج کروانے کے لیے ڈاکٹروں کے پاس جاتے ہیں۔

COURT FEE
30/6/86
MYSORE

Signed/ Mahammed Ali

"True copy"

For Deputy Commissioner

Date of application 23/1/06

Date on which copy was ready 16/2/06

Date on which copy was delivered 16.2.06

No. of words 70

Copying fees

Court fees

Total

Certified true copy

Sheristadar

District Sheristadar

[redacted]



that

Mus. 1 A]

190

From

To The Dy Commr
Chilaw

Dated
Despatched 28 9. 06
Received
General No. 1875
No.
Enclosure No. 7

Has the honor to forward herewith for favour of a detailed report, relative to Resolve 1378 from Chairman Ramapala & other Landowners of the Six Lakes in Kurunegala Swami Temple at Kora Korale in the Hollakorale Tn. &c.

2. The petitioners refer that since the issue of the all Kanakkapulle

credited to the temple funds,
the temple has materially
lost its income owing to the
devotees not coming forward
with such Kanikkai as they
used to do before when the Samarajis
themselves used to get the Kanikkai
and get large contributions
from the devotees for the benefit
of the temple. They state that
if as per manual they are ordered
to get the Kanikkai, they will
induce their disciples to give
large contributions to the temple
and that they will pay any
amount in a lump annually
a lieu of such Kanikkai.

3. The Dy Comm^r is requested
to forward a statement showing
the actual income derived
for the last 3 years, from

all sources and report
what amount the Samoans
are willing to pay instead,
and whether the latter is
advantageous to the Mau.

4. The favour of
a detailed report on all
the allegations of the petn
is requested. Nos 1625 + 1653

5. Two other petns received from
the disciples and devotees on the same subject are
also herewith forwarded

By order

27/9/06

No. 1876. Secretary to Deputy

Chief Secretary

25/9/06

1782

1835

Mar 1 A]

From

To The Dy Commr
Chitaldrug Dist

Dated 14. 10. 06

Despatched

Received 2042

Enclosure No. 2

Adverting to this office docket no 1690 of 13-9-06 regarding the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy temple at Hosakere Devarpur in the Holalkere Tk. has the honr to forward herewith the marginally-noted petns. received in this office from the disciples on the same subject and to request to be furnished with a detailed report early ~~already called for~~ on the subject.

no 1702 of 24-9-06
" 1835 of 7-10-06

By.
Dft
Secy

GPB—3000—21 7 06

ks
11/10/06

1998

తా 26.9.06

1926

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 --190

From

L ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

To

The Dy Commr.
Chitaldrug District.

Dated 26. X. 06

Despatched

Received

General No. 2929
Muzrai F. No

Enclosure No. 5

Referring to the marginally-noted communications from this office, re the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy temple at Horakere Devarapura in the Holalkere Taluk, has the honor to forward herewith the petitions noted as per margin on the subject and to request to be furnished with the reports already called for on the subject.

No: 1690 dy 13-9-06,
" 2042 dy 19-10-06

No: 1998 dy 28:9:06:
1926 dy 11:10:06.
1916 dy 9:10:06
1906 dy —do— —
Petition dy 8:10:06.

GPB—3,000—21-7 06

24/10/06

By order,
Secretary.

Mur. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

190

From

The Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Dy: Commissioner, Chitaldrug dt

Dated

Despatched 28th Decr 1906

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2 3144
M. No.

This Office No. 1690 dated

13-9- 1906 re the Sri-n Lakshmi narasimhaswamy temple at Herakere Devarapura in the Holalkere Taluk

2042 D/19-10-06
2229 D/26-10-06

and subsequent reminder marginally noted

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request ~~to be informed of to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.~~ the favor of an early reply in the matter.

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

19/12/06

Mur. I A]

190

From

To

The Deputy Commr
Chitaldrug Distt.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to forward
enquiry &
herewith for disposal, ~
petition registered no 4234
dated 14th march 1904 from
certain disciples of the Sri
Lakshminarasimhaswamy
temple at Hirekerudenarpura
Complaining against the
Dharmadarsi of the temple.

By order,
(Sd) 29/3/04
Secretary.

GPB—3,000—21-7-03

చింద ఖాంబిండనిచేం ల్చారర / నేదై ర్పుల్లుమనే రవ్వేయ్యల్ 2 నేలారంర్ప్ర
శాంబి రవ్వేయ్యల్ 3 నేలబింతర రంవర్ప్ర 4 నేల నుంతిన ఛిత్ర శ్ర్ల్లో 5 నేల
ల్లాబ్బా ర్ల్లాచ్చ్ 6 నేల నుల్లోనమంరంచిం ల్చిన్ధ్రే ంర్యల్ 7 నేల రవాజి శ్ర్ల్ల
లో శ్రేంద్ర్ల రశ్రే-లా రర్ల్లా ర్ద శ్రీ ర్ప్ర్ప్ర రంత్ర్ప్ర ధ్ర్ర్ర

ఎంతో ఆనందంతో ఉబ్బితబ్బిబ్బయిపోయి వెంటనే భక్తిశ్రద్ధలతో పిలిచి ఎంతో
గౌరవంతో శిష్యరికమిచ్చి తనతో పాటు ఎక్కడికి వెళ్ళినా తనతోనే ఉంచుకొని
తన విద్యలన్నీ ఎలాంటి దాపరికం లేకుండా ఆ శిష్యుడికి ధారపోసి
ఎంతో ప్రయోజకుడిని చేసి తన ఆస్థానంలో ఆస్థాన విద్వాంసుడిగా
నియమించుకున్నాడు.

— వేదాలన్నింటిలోనూ ఋగ్వేదం చాలా ఉన్నతమైనది. ఇందులో
ఉన్న మంత్రాలు ఎంతో శక్తివంతమైనవి. ఋగ్వేదంలో ఉన్న మంత్రాలు
ఎంతో శక్తివంతమైనవి. ఋగ్వేదంలో ఉన్న మంత్రాలు ఎంతో
ఉన్నతమైనవి. ఋగ్వేదంలో ఉన్న మంత్రాలు ఎంతో శక్తివంతమైనవి.
ఋగ్వేదంలో ఉన్న మంత్రాలు ఎంతో ఉన్నతమైనవి. ఋగ్వేదంలో
ఉన్న మంత్రాలు ఎంతో శక్తివంతమైనవి. ఋగ్వేదంలో ఉన్న
మంత్రాలు ఎంతో ఉన్న

ಕಾಡಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಡುಕಿದೆ ಊರಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಡುಕಿದೆ ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತ ಹುಡುಕಿದೆ
ಕಡೆಗೆ ನಿನ್ನ ಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಡುಕಿದೆ - ಆಗ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿದೆ ನೀನು
ಕಣ್ಣಿಗೆ ಕಾಣಿಸಿದೆ ನೀನು ಮನಸಲ್ಲಿ ಉಳ

Muz. 1 A]

190

From

To

The Dy Commr
Chitaldrug Distt

Dated

Despatched 14-4-07

Received

General No. 1391
No.

Enclosure No. 5

In contn. of this office
docket no 4942 dated 3d -
April 1904, has the honor
to forward herewith the margi
ally noted petitions from -
Gowda Muddappa, Gowda Hanu
manthappa and other devotees
of the Sri Lakshminarasimha
-swamy temple at Horakere-
Devarapura, bringing to notice
the existence of irregularities
in the instn. & requesting
that the report called for in this office Do Lett. No 1875
of 28th Sept. 1906 may be submitted at an early date.

By order
Secretary.

Nos 2224
223

Sent to the petrs

GPB—3,000—21-7-05

ఇంకా ఎంతమంది ఇలాంటి వీరవనితలు ఈ దేశంలో పుట్టి ఉన్నారో ఎవరికి తెలుసు?

MYSORE GOVERNMENT MUSEUM

ನಿಶ್ಚಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅಂದರೆ

ಕುಟುಂಬದವರಿಗೆ ಮೀಡಿಯಂ ಆಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅಂದರೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುವ

ಮಿಲ್ಲಿಂಗ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವವರಿಗೆ ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

ಅಂದರೆ ಸೀಮಿತವಾದ ಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವಂತಹ ಆತ್ಮಗಳು

ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳು ಮಾಡಿದೇವತಾಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಾಗಿರಬಹುದು

ಶಕ್ತಿಶಾಲಿಯಾಗಿರುವಂತಹ ಆತ್ಮಗಳು ಅಂದರೆ ಅವುಗಳು

ಯಾವುದಂತಹ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನಾದರೂ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿರುವಂತಹ

ಆತ್ಮಗಳು ಮೇಲಿನ ಲೋಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದರೆ ಸ್ವರ್ಗದಲ್ಲಿ

ಸಂಚರಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವಂತಹ ಆತ್ಮಗಳು ಅಂದರೆ ಅವುಗಳು

ಅಂಗಾಂಗಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವಂತಹ ಆತ್ಮಗಳು

ಇಂಥಾ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರವೇ ಅಂದರೆ ಯಾವುದೇ

ಮೇರಿಯವರು ಅಥವಾ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪವಿತ್ರವಾಗಿರುವಂತಹ

ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದರೂ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣಕರ್ತರಾಗಿ

ಇಂಥವರು ಅಂದರೆ ಅವರುಗಳು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರಣಕರ್ತರಾಗಿ

ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವಂತಹ ಆತ್ಮಗಳು ಅಂದರೆ ಅವರುಗಳು

ಸಮಾಧಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಮಾರಕಗಳೇನಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಬೇಸರ

ಇಲ್ಲಿಯ ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ನೀರು ಮತ್ತು ಸುಸಜ್ಜಿತ ಶೌಚಾಲಯಗಳ ಕೊರತೆ ಎದ್ದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಪ್ರವಾಸಿಗರಿಗೆ ಕುಡಿಯಲು ನೀರಿನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೂ ಅದು ಸಾಕಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಶೌಚಾಲಯಗಳ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಗಮನ ಹರಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಪ್ರವಾಸಿಗರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸುಸಜ್ಜಿತ ಶೌಚಾಲಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಇಲ್ಲಿಯ ಸಮಾಧಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಮಾರಕಗಳೇನಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಬೇಸರ ಪ್ರವಾಸಿಗರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿತು. ಸಮಾಧಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಮಾರಕಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಿದರೆ ಪ್ರವಾಸಿಗರಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಆಕರ್ಷಣೆ ಉಂಟಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಮಾಧಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಮಾರಕಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಸಮಾಧಿಗಳ ಬಳಿ ಸ್ಮಾರಕಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಿ ಪ್ರವಾಸಿಗರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.



28/8

76-93

From

To

The Dy Commr

Chitaldrug Distt

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No

In inviting reference to the marginally-noted communications from this office requesting the submission of a report on the alleged existence of irregularities in the Sri Lakshminarasimha Swamy temple at Harakeredevarpura etc. has the honor to forward herewith petition registered no 899 dated 21st

v. 1875 dt 28th Sept 1906.
4 ~ 821 dt 14th August 1907

GPB—3,000—21-7 03

August 1904 from Doddarangappa and certain others of Gollahalli Grama, Hiriyur Taluk and to request that the submission of the report already called for on the subject may be kindly expedited

By order

Sd/- 24/8/04

Secretary

Send to the petnr 26/8/04

ಸಹಿ/-

ದಿನಾಂಕ 16-8-2019 ರಂದು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು

1) ಅಡಪ್ಪನವರು ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಇರಪ್ಪನವರು

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಿ/-

ಸಹಿ/-

Muz. 1]

190

From

To

The Dy. Commr
Chitaldrug Dist.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honour to
invite attention to the office
memo no 3282 dated 12th
February 1906 in re the
petition of Shanbhogue
Seshappa of Sri Lakshmi
narasimhaswamy temple
at Hulakere devadapura
Holalkere Taluk, for the
recovery of certain sum
amounts

employe, and to request that
report called for in this
matter may be dispensed
Office Dockets Nos 1890 to 1940
[illegible] dated 13th & 28th Sept 1906 which
have not been received,
may be submitted as soon as possible, as
the matter is pending for upwards of a year

MYSCRE GOVERNMENT
4106
9/4/06

ಮೇಲಿನವರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಮಕ್ಷಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರ

ಮೇಲಿನ ಸ್ಥಿರಾಸ್ತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಥಿರಾಸ್ತಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ದಿವಸ ನಾನು ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಕ್ರಯದ ಹಣ ರೂ. 1,00,000/- ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇನ್ನು ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಸ್ಥಿರಾಸ್ತಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಹಕ್ಕು ಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳು ನನಗೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಸ್ಥಿರಾಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೇಸು

ಪುಟ 157

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್ ಎಸ್ ಪಾಟೀಲ
ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಸಂಘಗಳ ನೋಂದಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಆಸಂ 14 ಸಿಎಲ್ಎಸ್ 98 ದಿನಾಂಕ: 14/6/98

ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ

ವಿಷಯ: ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯಿದೆ 1959 ಮತ್ತು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿ 1960 ಕ್ಕೆ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯಿದೆ 1959 ಮತ್ತು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿ 1960 ಕ್ಕೆ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಸಂಘಗಳ ನೋಂದಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದ

Muz.3] A 4443.

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 3893 dated 25 · 3 : 1908 received at this Office from H N Venkatapathia and certain others bringing to notice certain irregularities during the recent car festival of Sri Lakshmiaraswamy Devasthana temple at Hoskeradeva is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy Commr Chitaldrug Distt. for favour of ~~report~~ enquiry & disposal

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
190.

8/4/08

Issued [illegible] to the petr

missioners office
Chitaldrug District

4 file V
1 statement
+ 1 petition Dated, Camp Hiriyur, 25th/28th April 1908

per
authority
short-note
order.

From

The Cheruvai Chetty, Esquire, B.A
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldroog District

To

L. Anantharama Rao Esquire, B.A
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Mysore Department
Bangalore



Sir,

82 dated 12 2 08
90 ✓ 13 4 08
75 ✓ 24 1 08
12 ✓ 19 2 08
1 ✓ 21 10 08
1 ✓ 3 4 07
1 ✓ 4 8 07
6 ✓ 29 8 07
✓ 1 11 07

With reference to the margin My note Communications received from your called for a report on the several petitions connecting against the mismanagement of the affairs of Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy temple at Hiriyur in the Holalkere taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the records of enquiry instituted by the Amildar in respect of all the allegations mad & enumerated in the State that, to ascertain the truth of the several allegations and to know the state of affairs of the temple by personal inspection I visited the village on the 27th ultimo and made enquiries

2 From personal enquiry, I found that all these petitions are the result of the

ill feeling existing between Ranganna, one of the Dharmadarsis of the temple and also shanbhogue of the village and the Sameragas, the temple Servants Vic of these petitions (registered No 527 dated 20th July 1907) relates to a complaint to the effect that the Sameragas had misappropriated certain temple revenues and they were removed from them only after some Courts were made to Taluk officers and that these Sameragas have been causing all this agitation only to monopolize the whole temple receipts for their own use All the other petitions are against Ranganna and the various allegations made therein and the result of the enquiry instituted therefor are cited in juxta-position for ready reference and proper understanding

of Rs 800/- was subscribed by the devotees in 1898 for certain utsavams. After defraying all the expenses, there remained still a balance of £ 250 or Rs 300. The Dharmadarsi misappropriated this amount and did not credit it to the Treasury until a complaint was made to the Amildar by one Hanumiah. On the enquiry held thereupon, it was ascertained that the balance was only Rs 150/- and this sum was afterwards recovered and credited to the Treasury.

The balance of Rs 4-7-8 that remained after the necessary expenditure was incurred during the year 903-04 was credited to the Treasury on 27th April 1905 after the lapse of more than a year and after the omission noticed by Mr. Rajagopalachar

3. Result of enquiry.

These four charges betray gross negligence and reprehensible delay on the part of Ranganna who was directly in charge of the accounts. His explanation that part of the amount was left with shopkeepers and part was secured in the Store-room of the temple cannot be accepted. It was his duty as Dharmadarsi to have made timely recoveries and remitted the amount to the Treasury. He should at least have reported to the Amildar so that proper steps might have been taken to recover such amounts. As these items relate to previous years and as the Amildars Messrs Madhadeva Rao and Rajagopala Chari went through the accounts and satisfied themselves as to the amounts due by Ranganna and recovered the same and credited in to

the Treasury, it appears unnecessary to re-open these questions again

On the occasion of car festival of 1906-07 Rangamma has exceeded the budget allotment by Rs 32 13-3 she should not have incurred this excess expenditure without the Asst Agent's previous sanction especially when the latter offered to proceed on the spot. Rangamma has been directed to bear this excess outlay and to understand that the question of the allotment cannot at all be accepted

4 The limited action of Rangamma and Doddanarsiah in bringing into existence a decent well near Agastha Tirth & the west of the cave. It is learnt reported that the faith to bring properly maintained in the temple by Rangamma and per conditions of the grant Doddanarsiah the Asst Agent's during inspection

During to the out-break of plague in the village in year 1904 Nandadipam was not maintained in the temple for some days and it was a consequent saving of Rs 9 This sum was not credited to the Treasury but had to be deducted from the hotpi due to Rangamma

In furnishing accounts Rangamma often makes the expenditure exceed the allotment and appropriates the excess to her own use

4 Once Doddanarsiah closed with Rangamma the documents of his amount to Rs 200 for clearing-house to recover this sum from the respective debtors and credit to the Pudurvat funds of the temple Rangamma recovered the debt but did not bring it in the accounts of the temple

he misappropriated the money
when a complaint was made
to the Amildar in this matter
gone Hanumiah Rangaiah
informing that he will get into
trouble influenced Doddaraiah
to receive the amount
from Hanumiah and
to have as if he had taken
a loan of Rs 200 from Dodda
naraiah on condition that
he should maintain light
in the temple instead of paying
any interest

5 One Gantesphala Shamiah
of Hagalakonda village invested
sum of Rs 100/- for the
celebration of Ankiranganna
swara every year from the
interest derived therefrom
the sum has been misappro
priated and the service is not
being done.

who at first joined with Rangam
to shield the latter subsequently
tried to recover the amount
but on being given to under
stand that he will get into
trouble, he made over the
amount in favour of the
temple and now prays that
the sum of Rs 200/ in question
may be recovered from
Rangamma by Government
Rangamma was accordingly
asked to pay up the money
at once but she pleaded her
inability to do so and prayed
for time to pay in 4 equal
instalments to which the above
circumstances I have thereto
the recovery as agreed to by
Rangamma

5 The Amildar has not
yet been able to trace the
references relating to the
investment & no proprietory
account of pudunal fund
has been maintained by the
Dharmadarsi and it
not been possible to ascer
definitely as to what it total

amount of puduvah funds is and whether the objects for which these investments were made are being fulfilled. I have directed the Amildar to sift the matter as far as possible by holding a thorough local enquiry and on receipt of his reply, I shall submit a detailed report with the necessary statistics

6 The general complaint is with regard to the levy of Kanike on Panchabab. These services are rendered under the direction of Samarayao who assume a priestly function in this respect It is believed that the Samarayas are entitled to these Kanikes and that the services in question cannot be performed without them and, need the devotees ask / me to / pay the Samarayas further dues in addition to what they have to give as the prescribed fees to the

6 The present system of levying Kanike on the following items termed hereafter as Panchabab by

1 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
3 [illegible]
4 [illegible]
5 [illegible]

has been causing much inconvenience and hardship to the devotees especially by the maltreatment of the Dharma-darsis whose control over this levy has been trouble some. The Samarayas and not the temple are entitled to receive this Kanike and its recovery by

Year	Amount of Kanike collected
1900 01	40 - 1 - 8
1901 02	10 - 13 - 10
1902 03	13 5 0
1903-04	22 - 3 - 8
1904 05	24 - 0 10
1905 06	25 14 - 2
1906 - 07	11 14 2

Dharmadarsi To get rid of this double taxation the devotees are shown reported, taking the Samerayas to their private residences where they fulfil their vows By doing so, the Samerayas are benefited to the detriment of the temple funds. The decrease under this head is apparent as per figures given in the margin

This complaint is a long standing one When complaints were made in 1899 that the Samerayas were misappropriating these kanikes, the Samerayas protested against the levy and prayed for their being permitted to appropriate to themselves the fees collected from the devotees and offered even to deposit a sum of Rs 250/- in the Savings Bank for the interest thereof being utilized for temple purposes in return for the favour sought for permission This was not accepted which was deemed a

Nature of Seva	Amount to be levied
offering cocoanuts plantains &c	0 — 0 — 3
offering ad libitum	0 — 0 — 6
Abhisekam with water	0 — 1 — 0
Abhisekam with panchamrita	0 — 2 — 0
Puja with tulasi or any flower	0 — 0 — 6
Palaki utsava	1 — 0 — 0
Adde utsava	0 — 8 — 0
Garudotsava	2 — 0 — 0
other utsavas	0 — 8 — 0

It is reported that if this Kanike is levied, the income may come up to Rs 20/- a year and that the devotees will not have any objection to pay the same. When I had been to the village, I discussed the subject with the people and the Sammajas and they expressed their concurrence. I beg therefore to recommend this proposal for the sanction of Government.

This proposal will leave the devotees to make their own arrangement with the Sthanapathis for the performance of vows falling under the category of panchakalam.

Pillaiyarbhatt, one of the present Dharmakartas has since sent up another petition to me (herewith submitted) protesting against the new proposal on the ground that the services for which the Kanikkai are now being levied are offered to the Deity and not to the Sthanapathis and that the creation of the Sthanapathis in hereditary for the devotees right of the deity to base and selfish. The petitioner was present when I had been to the temple and explained these matters but he did not seem satisfied with my explanation. As the income from the Panchakalam for the last 6 years was Rs 187 a year on an average and of

desirable in the interests of the temple and all the parties concerned to do away with the Panchabali so far as the temple revenues are concerned

7. The permanent archak of the temple frequently absents himself and unwarrantedly raises subscriptions on the pretext of consecrating certain new idols in the temple. During his absence, Rangayya appoints his own men who are changed very often and who will not perform their duties to the satisfaction of the devotees.

7. It is true that the permanent archak frequently takes leave and during his absence, other available men will be made to officiate for him by the Amildar and Rangayya is not to be blamed in this respect. In the matter of the archak's raising private contributions, there are no grounds for Government to interfere

At present the pay of the Archak is fixed at Rs 3/- per mensem. There is also a land dedicated to the temple by one late Ramanna of elladeru in the same Taluk for the purpose of providing additional means of income to the archak. This land has been leased out on an annual patta of Rs 6/- exclusive of the kandayam

Village		a r q	
Rampenahalli	49 Dry	11-15	
		Rs a p	
		5 8 0	

to be paid to Government on the land It is arranged to pay them Rs 6/- also to the archak As the income is barely sufficient to maintain his family, the archak is reported to absent himself frequently on this account It is not possible to find a separate competent archak who will stick up to this place on the present meagre remuneration So income is competent archak permanently it is necessary that his pay should be raised from Rs 3/ to Rs 5/- per mensem

8 My personal enquiry and the study of all the records go to show that the two Dharma dakars Rangamma and Pullaiyagall it have not been doing their work conscientiously and that they are chiefly responsible for the mismanagement of the temple affairs They have been Dharmadakars for the last 15 years and it is high time

8 The present Dharmadakars more especially Rangamma and Pullaiyagabhatt must be replaced by better and more earnest men as this is the only means of preventing further malpractices and mismanagement

time that they and some others are removed. I would recommend the appointment of the following gentlemen as Dharmadarsis

1 Shanbhogue Seshappa
2 Samarangappa, Patel of Mathigatta
3 Bunigina Horakeregppa
4 Abbe Kallappa
5 Chennappa & Rangayya

9 The villagers represented to me that the allotment of Rs 8/10 provided for expenditure during the car festival is quite inadequate as the price of all the articles has gone up very high and pray for the amount being raised to Rs 100/- to meet all the charges. Their prayer is just and may therefore be acceded to.

10 A sum of Rs 2/8 - has been provided for the maintenance of nandadipam in the temple. As Shanbhogue Rangappa has been incurring a nandadipam and for the interest on the sum he borrowed from Dodmaraiya

referred to in para 4 supra, the full amount is not being utilized at present. A sum of Rs 12 12 0 only is now being paid from the temple funds and the balance shown as Savings. I now propose to utilize this Savings to meet the excess charge referred to in the last preceding para The 200 rupees that will be recovered from Rangama in 4 equal instalments will be made available for the maintenance of nandadipam Thus the proposed arrangement will be continued

11 I enclose herewith a revised scale of receipts and expenditure of the temple embodying all the foregoing proposals and I request that the same may be sanctioned tentatively for a period of 5 years If the temple works well under the new arrangement the same will be recommended for Continuance

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner
Chittoor

Revised scale of receipts and expenditure of the

Koodal

Receipts

Items	Amount			Remarks
Cash grant	8	1	.	
Interest on Government of India Pro notes	94	4		76 8 0 on Rs 2200 and 1112 8 on Rs 508-13 2
Kanike	20			
Inkam on the land endowed by Ramanna	6	.		
Contributions by the devotees as given in detail in the statement submitted with this office letter No 97 dated 8th August 1904	129	15		

devaprasna ca. 1140

Expenditure

Items	Amount			Remarks
Nandadipam	12	12	.	
Paditara allowance	14	5	4	
Yugadi festival	2	.	.	
Saturday Seva	14	4		
Chandradarsana day's expense	10	.	.	
Sriranganayanam Seva	10	9	4	
Nrisimhajayanti Seva	9	4	8	
First Ekadasi day's expense	1	.	.	
Karkataka Sankramana utsava	2			
Gokulastami utsava	6	4	8	
Navaratri and Vedantadesikara brahmotsavam	12	14	6	
Vaikuntha Chaturdasi utsava	1	5	8	
Uttara Dwadasi utsava	3	10	2	
Kuthe Kotsava	8	7	3	
Dharmapuri Sankramti utsava	14	7	3	
Rathasapthami utsava	1	13	2	
Vyasapurnami utsava	2	.	.	
Car festival	100	.		
Pay of the archaks	66		.	
Other Establishment Charges	312	8	.	Same not detailed in the Statement sent to the Hon'ble the Chief Commissioner of Coorg for the month of August 1904
Total	305	10		

Deputy Commissioner
Chief Court Revenue

Draft for approval

Murzai 2 Aff-

Read:-

Govt Order No. 247, Murz. F 76-93 dated 4th September 1899, approving of the Chitaldrug Dy Commissioner's recommendation to entrust the collection of Kanikes and other fees in the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami temple at Horakeredevarapura in the Holalkere Taluk to the Dharmadarsis of the Institution.

(2) Govt Pro: No 2481-2 Murz. F 76-93 dated 16th January 1905, revising the scale of expenditure in the said temple.

(3) Petitions from the servants, Dharmadarsis and other devotees of the temple, complaining of the mismanagement of the affairs of the Institution.

(4) letter No. 472, dated

25th April 1908, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

Order thereon :- 5652-3 Ere
4 files & 2 statements
17—6—1908

The Govt observe that the complaints brought forward in the several petitions read above, fall under 3 heads, viz (1) those against the Dharmadars ; (2) those against the Archak; and (3) those against the temple servants, or Samarayas as they are specially named. The Deputy Commissioner has submitted a detailed report, after an inspection of the temple and a personal enquiry on the several complaints.

2 First as against the Dharmadars It is complained that the present Dharmadars is, in certain cases, mis-appropriated the whole of the

Padiwat amounts subscribed by the devotees for services in the temple that in certain other cases, after performing the stipulated services, they retained the balances with themselves without crediting them to the Treasury, until recovered from them by the local officers, and that in other cases, they made it appear that they exceeded the Tasdik allotment assigned for the temple festivals and appropriated the excess for their own use.

3 As regards this point, the Deputy Commissioner reports to the effect that the irregularities complained of are true, that Ranganna, who is directly in charge of the temple accounts is responsible therefor, that the latter's explanation is not satisfactory,

and that therefore in all the specific cases complained of, the amounts still remaining in his hands, ~~have~~ ~~been~~ were recovered from him and credited in the Treasury As regards the excess expenditure, the Deputy Commissioner says that as the said Dharmadarsi had not obtained previous sanction therefor, he has been directed to pay the excess amount out of his own pocket The action taken is approved.

4 It is further complained that one Doddarasiah deposited ~~two~~ documents with the said Rangamma with a view to this collecting the loan of Rs 200/- secured thereby and crediting the same to the funds of the temple, but that the Dharmadarsi misappropriated the amount, and when a complaint was made to the Amildar, he executed a document

for that amount in favor of Dod-
naraiah and bound himself to
maintain a Nandadipam in the
temple in lieu of the interest pay-
able thereon.

5 As regards this point, the
Deputy Commissioner reports that
the united action of Rangamma and
Dodnaraiah in bringing ~~about~~
~~evidence~~ a document into existence
has altogether changed the aspect of
the case. Dodnaraiah, who at first
joined with the Dharmadarsi to
shield him, subsequently tried to
recover the amount, but on being
given evasive replies, he made over
the document which was standing
in his name, in favor of the temple,
and now prays that the sum of
Rs 200/- may be recovered from Rangamma
by Govt. Rangamma was accordingly

asked to pay up the full amount at once, but he having pleaded his inability to do so, and prayed for time to pay in 4 equal instalments, the Deputy Commissioner has directed the recovery of the amount as agreed to by Rangamma. The action taken in this matter is also approved.

6 Another item of complaint against Rangamma is that he misappropriated the sum of Rs 100/- misled by one Gantappa Ca Bhimiah of Mayakonda village for the interest accruing thereon being used for the annual celebration of Ankurarpanam utsava of the temple. The Deputy Commissioner reports that no references relating to the investment are forthcoming, that no satisfactory accounts of the Endowment funds having been maintained in the temple, it is not possible to

ascertain definitely what is the total amount of the endowment funds of the temple, and whether the objects for which those investments have been made are duly fulfilled, and that therefore he has directed the local authorities to sift the matter as far as possible by holding a thorough enquiry. The Govt regret that there has been a lack of supervision on the part of the local authorities till now, in this behalf, is very much to be regretted The Deputy Commissioner's promised further report is awaited.

7 In reporting upon the complaints referred to in the foregoing paras, the Deputy Commissioner expresses the opinion that the Dharmadarsis of the temple especially Rangayya and Pattabhiya Bhatta, have not been doing their

work conscientiously and that they are chiefly responsible for the mismanagement of the temple affairs. It is further stated that they have been Dharmadarsis for the past 15 years and the Deputy Commissioner recommends that all the present Dharmadarsis may be removed, and the persons noted in the margin appointed in their stead. The recommendation is sanctioned. A notification will separately issue in this behalf.

(1) Shambhogue Seshappa.
(2) Samavarangappa, Patel of Mattigi
(3) Bwrugwia Virakerappa.
(4) Abi Kallappa.
(5) Chennappa Rangaiya

8 Secondly, against the Archak:— It is complained that the present Archak of the temple frequently absents himself from his duties, and unwarrantedly raises subscriptions on the pretext of consecrating certain new idols in the temple. With reference to this point, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the pay of the Archak is Rs. 21 per mensem that besides this

he has been getting an annual income of Rs 6/- from a certain land dedicated to the temple by one Ramanna of maddeem in the Holalkere Taluk (now deceased), but that both the above items of income being hardly sufficient to maintain his family he is reported to have been absent himself in view to supplement his income from other sources. He further reports that it is not possible to find a separate competent Archak who will stick to the post on the present meagre remuneration and therefore recommends that the Archak's pay may be raised to Rs 5/- per mensem. Under the circs reported the recommendation is sanctioned, disbursement of the increased pay being made to take effect from the 1st July 1908. The Archak should be warned that he should not in future

absent himself to the detriment of the temple worship, and give room to any further complaint.

9. Lastly, as against the temple servants:— It is observed that the only petition received against them is from the Dharmadarsis, who state that these servants are trying to monopolise the whole Kanike receipts of the temple for their own use. On the other hand, the Samerayas themselves petition stating that properly speaking, they alone are entitled to the Kanikes, as the services are rendered under their direction, themselves assuming a priestly function in this respect, and that without their aid, no services can be performed by the devotees, and therefore pray that the Dharmadarsis should be relieved

of their control over the levy of the Kanikes, ~~regarding this~~ As regards this point, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the complaint in question is a long standing one. When complaints were made in 1899, that the Samerayas were misappropriating the Kanikes, these latter protested ~~against the~~ and prayed for permission to appropriate to themselves the fees collected from the devotees and offered even to deposit a sum of Rs 250/- in the Savings Bank for the interest realised thereon being utilised for temple purposes, in return for the grant of the permission sought for. This offer was not accepted, and it was directed in the Govt. Order of the 6th Sept 1899 read above, that no part

of the Kanike amount should be allowed to be appropriated by any class of temple servants, and that the collection of the fees noted in the margin, should be entrusted to the Dharmadarsis.

(1) Mandê.
(2) Dindu Oorulu.
(3) Bayi Beega.
(4) Chakrankita
(5) Bûndê

The Deputy Commissioner further reports that on an average an income of Rs 87- is annually derived from this source, that the levy of the fees has become a source of vexation, and therefore recommends that in the interests of the temple and the servants concerned, this source of income of the Institution may be done away with, and in its stead, Deva Kanikes in accordance with the following scale may be levied:-

	Rs
Offering of cocoanuts, plantains &c	- - - 3
Offering of fede	- - - 6
Abhisheka Snanam with water	- - - 1 -
Do — Panchamrita	- - - 2 -
Puja with Tulasi and other flowers	- - - 6
Palaki Utsavam	- - - - 1 - -
Adde — Do	- - - - - 8 -
Gramdotsavam	- - - - - 2 - -
Other Utsavams	- - - - - 8 -

The Deputy Commissioner further states that if the above Kanikes are levied, the income from them may come up to Rs 20 of a year, and that the devotees will raise no objection to the levy Under the circs reported, the Govt are pleased to direct that, in supersession of the orders contained in the Govt order of the 16th September 1899 read above, the Samarayas be allowed to appropriate the Kanikes from the ~~aforesaid~~ five sources specified above in accordance with the scale of rates hitherto in force and that the levy of the Devakanikes recommended by the Deputy Commissioner be newly introduced and the ~~revenue~~ income derived therefrom credited to the funds of the temple

credited to the funds of temple

10. In conclusion, the Deputy Commissioner reports

that the villagers represented to him
that the tasdik of Rs 87-10-0 provided
for expenses during the car festival
~~xxxxxxxxxx~~ is quite inadequate
as the prices of all the articles have
gone up very high and that they
prayed that the allotment might
be raised to Rs 100/- to meet all
the charges. He further states that
though a sum of Rs 27-8-0 has been
provided for the maintenance of
Nandadipam in the temple, yet,
the Dharmadarsi Rangamma has
been maintaining a Nandadipam
from the interest on the sum of Rs 200/-
she has borrowed from Doddanaraiah
~~referred to~~
as detailed in para 4 above, only
~~expenditure~~
~~a sum~~ of Rs 12-12-0 is being incurred
on account of Nandadipam from
the temple funds. He therefore
recommends that from the

the savings of Rs 14-12-0 thus accruing, may be met the excess charge of Rs 12-6-0 required to make up the full amount of Rs 100/- for the expenses of the car-festival. He also reports that when the sum of Rs 200/- is recovered from Rangamma as ordered by him, it will be utilized for ~~maintaining~~ the continuance of the Nandadipam. The foregoing proposals of the Deputy Commissioner appear suitable and are accordingly sanctioned.

11 The scale of Receipts and Expenditure of the temple, as revised in the foregoing paras ~~which are adopted~~ which are embodied in the appended statements, are sanctioned.

tentatively for a period of 5 years as recommended, and the Deputy Commissioner is requested to report at the end of that period as to how the revised scheme works.

12. ~~The Deputy Commissioner~~ is also requested to communicate the foregoing orders to the petitioners concerned.

Secretary.

W 25/5/08

Print Gl
BPM
6/6/08

The Deputy Commr., Chitaldrug Dt., etc., enclosures.
" Comptr., Mysore Govt., with
Encl. to Refer.

Issue
Print Gl
11/6/08

and Ex · the Holalkere Taluk.

No. 99

Receip

Items.		Amount		Remarks.
Cash grant		12	12 .	
Interest on Govt of India Pro Notes		14	5 4	
Kanike		2	. .	
Sitta on the land endowed by Ramanna		14	4 .	
Contributions made by the devotees		10	. .	
Saturday Seva —	Rs 14-4-0	10	9 4	
Chandradarsana days Expenses	10 —	9	4 8	
Sri Rama Navami Seva -	10 — —	1	. .	
Narasimha jayanti seva	9 — —	2	. .	
Gokulashtami Utsava -	6 — —	6	4 8	
Naraka chaturdasi Utsava —	1-5-8	12	14 6	Chatras
		1	5 8	
Uttirna dwadasi Utsava	3-10-2	3	10 2	
Krittikotsava . . .	7 — —	8	7 3	
Ratha saptami Utsava —	1-13-2	4	7 3	
Car festival . . .	66-14-—	1	13 2	
		2	. .	
		600		.
		66	.	.
		22	8 —	
Total	Total Rs	305	10	

M 25/5/08

tatively for a period of 5 years
s recommended, and the Deputy
mmissioner is requested to report
the end of that period as to
w the revised scheme works.

~~The Deputy Commissioner~~
~~is requested to communicate~~
~~the foregoing orders to the petitioners~~
~~agreed.~~

Secretary.
7/5/08

Print file
BPM
6/6/08

The Deputy Commr., Chitaldrug Dt., with enclosures.
" Comptr., Mysore Govt., with

Endy. to letter.

Print file
6/6/08

vised Scale of Receipts and Expenditure of the Sri Lakshmi

Receipts

Items	Amount			Remarks.
ish grant	58	1	-	
terest on Govt of India Pro Notes	94	4	-	Rs 76-8-0 on Rs 2200/- and 17-12-0 on Rs 508-13-2
anike	20	"	"	
tta on the land endowed by Ramanna	6	"	-	
ntributions made by the devotees :—				
Saturday Seva — Rs 4-4-0				
Chandradarsana days Expenses — 10-0-0				
Sri Rama Navami Seva - 10-0-0				
Narasimha Jayanti Seva 9-0-0				
Sokulashtami Utsava - 6-0-0	129	15	-	
Naraka chaturdasi Utsava — 1-5-8				
Uttirana dwadasi Utsava - 3-10-2				
Krittikotsava . . . 7-0-0				
Ratha saptami Utsava — 1-13-2				
Car festival 66-14-0				
Total	308	4	-	

in the Holalkere Taluk.

Expenditure

Items	Amount			Remar
Nandadipam	12	12	.	
Padiseva allowance	4	5	4	
Yugadi festival	2	.	..	
Saturday Seva	4	4	.	
Chandra darsana days expenses	10	.	.	
Srirama Navami Seva	10	9	4	
Nrisimha jayanti seva	9	4	8.	
First Ekadasi days expenses	1	..	~	
Karkataka Sankramana Utsava	2	.	.	
Gokulashtami Utsava	6	4	8	
avaratri and Vedanta Desikar's Tirunakshatras	12	14	6	
Naraka Chaturdasi Utsava	1	5	8	
Utsava Dwadasi Utsava .	3	10	2	
Krittikotsava	8	7	3	
Dhanurmasa Sankranti Utsava	4	7	3	
Rathasaptami Utsava	1	13	2	
Vyasapoornami Utsava	2	.	.	
Car festival	100	.	.	
Pay of the Archak	66	.	.	
Other Establishment charges	22	8	~	
Total Rs	305	10	.	

Y.N.

of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

M U Z R A I.

Read:-

Government order No. 247/Muz F 26-93, dated 4th September 1899, approving of the Chitaldrug Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to entrust the collection of Kanikes and other fees in the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami temple at Horakeredevarpura in the Holalkere Taluk to the Dharmadarsis of the Institution.

(2) Government Proceedings No. 2481-2 /Muz f 76-95, dated 16th January 1905 revising the scale of expenditure in the said temple.

(3) Petitions from the servants, Dharmadarsis and other devotees of the temple, complaining of the mismanagement of the affairs of the Institution.

and (4) Letter No. 472, dated 25th April 1908 from the -- Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug Dostrict.

Order thereon No. 5652-3 Dated Bangalore 17-6- 1908.

The Government observe that the complaints brought forward in the several petitions read above, fall under 3 heads viz (1) those against the Dharmadarsis, (2) those against the --- Archak and (3) those against the temple servants or Samerayas

they are specially named. The Deputy Commissioner has submitted a detailed report after an inspection of the temple and a personal enquiry on the several complaints.

2 Firstly against the Dharmadarsis:-

It is complained that the present Dharmadarsis in certain cases, misappropriated the whole of the Pudavat amounts subscribed by the devotees for services in the temple, that in certain other cases after performing the stipulated services they retained the balances with themselves without crediting them to the Treasury until recovered from them by the local officers and that in other cases they made it appear that they exceeded the Tasdik allotment assigned for the temple festivals but appropriated the excess for their own use.

3 As regards this point the Deputy Commissioner reports to the effect that the irregularities complained of are true, - that Ranganna who is directly in charge of the temple accounts is responsible therefor, that the latter' explanation is not satisfactory and that therefore in all the specific cases complained of, the amounts still remaining in his hands were recovered from him and credited in the Treasury. As regards the excess expenditure, the Deputy Commissioner says that as the said Dharmadarsis had not obtained previous sanction therefor, he has been directed to pay the excess amount out of his own pocket. The action taken is approved.

It is further complained that one Dodnarasiah deposited documents with the said Ranganna with a view to his collecting the loan of Rs. 200/- secured thereby and crediting the sum to the funds of the temple, but that the Dharmadarsi misappropriated the amount, and when a complaint was made to the Amildar, he executed a document for that amount in favour of Dodnarasiah and bound himself to maintain a nandadipam in the temple in lieu of the interest payable to him .

5 As regards this point, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the ~~intended~~ united action of Ranganna and Dodnarasiah in bringing a document into existence ~~of the case~~ has altogether changed the aspect of the case. Dodnarasiah who at first joined with the Dharmadarsi to shield him subsequently tried to recover the amount, but on being given evasive replies he made over the document which was standing in his ~~nae~~ name, in favour of the temple, and now prays that the sum of Rs. 200/- may be recovered from --- Ranganna by Government. Ranganna was accordingly asked to pay up the full amount at once, but he having pleaded his inability to do so, and prayed for time to pay in 4 equal instalments the Deputy Commissioner has directed the recovery of the amount as agreed to by Ranganna. The action taken in this matter is also approved.

6. Another item of complaint against Ranganna is that he misappropriated the sum of Rs. 100 invested by one Canteppala

Bhimiah of Mayakonda village for the interest accruing thereon being used for the annual celebration of Ankurarpana utsava of the temple. The Deputy Commissioner reports that no references relating to the investment are forthcoming, that no satisfactory accounts of the Puduvat funds having been maintained in the temple, it is not possible to ascertain definitely what is the total amount of the pud vat funds of the temple, and whether the objects for which those investments have been made are duly fulfilled, and that therefore he has directed the local authorities to sift the matter as far as possible by holding a thorough enquiry. The Government regret that there has been a lack of supervision on the part of the local authorities till now in this behalf. The Deputy Commissioner's promised further report is awaited.

7. In reporting upon the complaints referred to in the foregoing paras, the Deputy Commissioner expresses the opinion that the Dharmadarsis of the temple, especially Ramarna and Puttalinga Bhatta have not been doing their work conscientiously and that they are chiefly responsible for the mismanagement of the temple affairs. It is further stated that they have been Dharmadarsis for the past 15 years and the Deputy Commissioner recommends that all the present Dharmadarsis may be removed and persons noted in the margin appointed in

The recommendation is sanctioned. A Notification

y.	anb ogue Ses appa	will sepa-
	Sanna Rangappa. Patel of Mattighatta	
"	Burugina Horakerappa	rately issue
"	Absi Vallappa	
"	Chennappana Rangaiyya	in this

behalf.

8. Secondly, as against the Archak it is complained that the present Archak of the temple frequently absents himself from his duties, and unwarrantedly raises subscriptions on the pretext of consecrating certain new idols in the temple. With reference to this point, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the pay of the Archak is Rs 3 per mensem, that besides this, he has been getting an annual income of Rs 6 from a certain land dedicated to the temple by one Ramanna of ~~Hdders~~ Madderu in the Holalkere Taluk (now deceased) but that both the above items of income being hardly sufficient to maintain his family , he is reported to have been absenting himself in view to supplement his income from other sources. He further reports that it is not possible to find a separate competent Archak who will stick to the post on the present meagre remuneration and therefore recommends that the Archak's pay may be raised to Rs 5 per mensem. Under the circumstances reported the recommendation is sanctioned, the disbursement of the increased pay being made to take effect from the 1st July 1908. The Archak should be warned that he should not in future absent himself to the detriment of the temple worship, and give room

further complaint.

9. Lastly as against the temple servants:- It is observed that the only petition received against them is from the Dharmadarsis, who state that these servatns are trying to monopolise the whole Kanike receipts of the temple for their own use. On the other hand the Samerayas themselves petition stating that properly speaking they alone are entitled to the Kanikes as the services are rendered under their direction, themselves assuming a priestly function in this respect and that without their aid no services can be performed by the devotees and therefore pray that the Dharmadarsis should be relieved of their control over the levy of the Kanikes. As regards this point the Deputy Commissioner reports that the complaint in question is a long standing one. When complaints were made in 1899 that the Samerayas were misappropriating the kanikes these latter protested and prayed for permission to appropriate to themselves the fees collected from the devotees and offered even to deposit a sum of Rs. 250/- in the Savings Bank for the interest to be realised thereon being utilised ~~for~~ for temple purposes in return for the grant of the permission sought for. This offer was not accepted and it was directed in the Government order of the 6th September 1899 read above that no part of the Kanike amount should be allowed to be appropriated by any class of temple servants and that the collection of the fees noted in the margin should be entrusted to the

Dharmadarsis. The Deputy Commissioner further re-

-ports that on an average an income of Rs. 18/- is annually derived from this source, that the levy of the fees has become a source of vexation and therefore recommends that in the interests of the temple and the servants concerned, this source of income to thr Institution may be done away with and in its stead Devakanikes in accordance with the following scale may be levied:-

Offering of cocoanuts, plantains &c.	Rs. 0-0-3
Offering Yede	0-0-6.
Abhishekam with water	0-1)o
--D- Panchamruta	0-2-0
Puja with Thulasi or flowers	0-0-6
Palaki Utsavam	Re 1-0-0
Adde --Do--	0-8-0
Garudotsavam	2-0-0
Other Utsavams	0-8-0.

The Deputy Commissioner further states that if the above Kanikes are levied the income from them may come up to Rs. 20/- a year and that the devotees will raise no objection to the levy. Under the circumstances reported the Government are pleased to direct that in supervision of the orders contained in the Government order of the 6th September 1899 read above the Samerayas be allowed to appropriate to themselves the income from the Panchabab referred to above. In substitution of the income to the temple from the aforesaid source viz Panchabab the Government are pleased to -- sanction the levy of the Devakanikes recommended by the Deputy Commissioner the income derived therefrom being credited to

he temple. .

10. In conclusion, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the villagers represented to him that the tasdik of Rs. 87-10-0 provided for expenses during the car festival is quite inadequate as the prices of all the articles have gone up very high and that they prayed that the allotment might be raised to Rs. 100 to meet all the charges. He further states that though a sum of Rs. 27-8-0 has been provided for the maintenance of Nandadipam in the temple yet as Dharmadarsi Ranganna has been maintaining a nandadipam from the interest on the sum of Rs 200/- he has borrowed from Dodnarasiah referred to in para 4 above only an expenditure of Rs. 12-12-0 is being incurred on account of Nandadipam from the temple funds. He therefore recommends that from the saving of Rs. 14-12-0 thus accruing may be met the excess charge of Rs. 12/12/ 12-6-0 required to make up the full amount of Rs. 100/- for the expenses of the car festival. He also reposts that when the sum of Rs. 200/- is recovered from Ranganna as ordered by him it will be utilized for the continuance of the nandadipam. The foregoing proposals of the Deputy Commissioner appear suitable and are accordingly sanctioned.

11. The scale of Receipts and Expenditure of the temple as revised in the foregoing paras which are embodied in the appended statements are sanctioned tentatively for a period of 5 years as recommended and the Deputy Commissioner is requested

to report at the end of that period as to how the revised scheme works.

SECRETARY.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District returning enclosures.

" Comptroller to the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Receipts

Items		Amount	Remarks
Cash Grant		58-1-0	
Interest on Govt. of India Pro.Notes		94-4-0	76-8-0 on Rs2200 17-12-0 on 308-13-4
Kanike		20-0-0	
Gutta on the land endowed by Ramanna		6-0-0	
Contributions made by the devotees:-			
Satyrday Seva	14-4-0		
Chandradarsana day's Expenses	10-0-0	129-15-0	
Sri Ramanavami Seva	10-0-0		
Narasimha Jayanti Seva	9-0-0		
Gokulashtami Utsava	6-0-0		
Narakachaturdasi Utsava	1-5-8		
Uttandwadasi Utsava	3-10-2		
Krittikotsava	7-0-0		
Rathasaptami Utsava	1-13-2		
Car festival	63-14-0		
Total Rupees		308-4-0	

Expenditure

Items	Amount	Remarks
Tardadipam	12-12-0	
Paditara Allowance	14-3-4	
Ugadi festival	2-0-0	
Saturday Seva	14-4-0	
Chandradarsana days Expenses	10-0-0	
Sriramanavami Seva	10-9-4	
Narasimha Jayanti Seva	9-4-8	
First Ekadasi day's Expenses	1-0-0	
Varhatakasankramana Utsava	2-0-0	
Gokulashtami Utsava	3-[illegible]-8	
Navaratri & Vedanta Desikar's Tirunakshatram	12-11-6	
Varsha Chaturdasi Utsava	1-5-[illegible]	
Uttana Utsava Dwadasi Utsava	3-10-2	
Krittik tsva	[illegible]-7-3	
Dhanurmasa Sankranti Utsava	4-7-[illegible]	
Bhasmajyoti Utsava	[illegible]-15-2	
Vyasapoornami Utsava	2-0-0	
Car festival	100-0-0	
Pay of the Archak	3[illegible]-0-0	
Other Establishment charges	22-[illegible]-0	
Total Rs	30[illegible]-[illegible]-0	

Proceedings of the
Govt of Madras

Proceedings

Progo Book
Dne 23rd Inst

B 7868



No 3282
18

No 5652-3

Enc 4 files &
2 Statements.

Dy 17-6-1908

Passing orders re the affairs of the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami temple at Horakere Devarpur, Holalkere Taluk and revising the scale of expenditure

READ—

Government Order No. 247—Muz. F. 76-93, dated 6th September 1899, approving of the Chitaldrug Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to entrust the collection of Kanikes and other fees in the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami temple at Horakeredevarpura in the Holalkere Taluk to the Dharmadarsis of the Institution.

(2) Government Proceedings No. 2481-2—Muz. F. 76-93, dated 16th January 1905, revising the scale of expenditure in the said temple.

(3) Petitions from the servants, Dharmadarsis and other devotees of the temple, complaining of the mismanagement of the affairs of the Institution and

(4) Letter No. 472, dated 25th April 1908, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.

No. 5652-3—MUZ. F. 76-93, DATED BANGALORE, 17TH JUNE 1908.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government observe that the complaints brought forward in the several petitions read above, fall under 3 heads, *viz.*, (1) those against the Dharmadarsis, (2) those against the Archak and (3) those against the temple servants or *Samerayas* as they are specially named. The Deputy Commissioner has submitted a detailed report after an inspection of the temple and a personal enquiry on the several complaints.

2. Firstly, as against the Dharmadarsis.—It is complained that the present Dharmadarsis in certain cases, misappropriated the whole of the Pudavat amounts subscribed by the devotees for services in the temple, that in certain other cases after performing the stipulated services they retained the balances with themselves without crediting them to the Treasury until recovered from them by the local officers and that in other cases they made it appear that they exceeded the Tasdik allotment assigned for the temple festivals but appropriated the excess for their own use.

3. As regards this point, the Deputy Commissioner reports to the effect that the irregularities complained of are true, that Ranganna who is directly in charge of the temple accounts is responsible therefor, that the latter's explanation is not satisfactory and that therefore in all the specific cases complained of, the amounts still remaining in his hands were recovered from him and credited in the Treasury. As regards the excess expenditure, the Deputy Commissioner says that as the said Dharmadarsi had not obtained previous sanction therefor, he has been directed to pay the excess amount out of his own pocket. The action taken is approved.

4. It is further complained that one Dodnarasiah deposited documents with the said Ranganna with a view to his collecting the loan of Rs. 200 secured thereby and crediting the sum to the funds of the temple, but that the Dharmadarsi misappropriated the amount, and when a complaint was made to the Amildar, he executed a document for that amount in favour of Dodnarsiah and bound himself to maintain a nandadipam in the temple in lieu of the interest payable to him.

5. As regards this point, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the united action of Ranganna and Dodnarasiah in bringing a document into existence has altogether changed the aspect of the case. Dodnarasiah who at first joined with the Dharmadarsi to shield him subsequently tried to recover the amount, but on being given evasive replies he made over the document which was standing in his name, in favour of the temple, and now prays that the sum of Rs. 200 may be recovered from Ranganna by Government. Ranganna was accordingly asked to pay up the full amount at once, but he having pleaded his inability to do so, and prayed for time to pay in four equal instalments, the Deputy Commissioner has directed the recovery of the amount as agreed to by Ranganna. The action taken in this matter is also approved.

6. Another item of complaint against Ranganna is that he misappropriated the sum of Rs. 100 invested by one Ganteppala Bhimiah of Mayakonda village for

the interest accruing thereon being used for the annual celebration of Ankurarpana Utsava of the temple The Deputy Commissioner reports that no references relating to the investment are forthcoming, that no satisfactory accounts of the Puduvat funds having been maintained in the temple, it is not possible to ascertain definitely what is the total amount of the Puduvat funds of the temple, and whether the objects for which those investments have been made are duly fulfilled, and that therefore he has directed the local authorities to sift the matter as far as possible by holding a thorough enquiry The Government regret that there has been a lack of supervision on the part of the local authorities till now in this behalf The Deputy Commissioner's promised further report is awaited

7 In reporting upon the complaints referred to in the foregoing paras, the Deputy Commissioner expresses the opinion that the Dharmadarsis of the temple, especially Rangamma and Puttalinga Bhatta have not been doing their work conscientiously and that they are chiefly responsible for the mismanagement of the temple affairs It is further stated that they have been Dharmadarsis for the past fifteen years and the Deputy Commissioner recommends that all the present Dharmadarsis may be removed and persons noted in the margin appointed in their stead The recommendation is sanctioned A notification will separately issue in this behalf

M J R Shambho Seshappi
Sunni Ramappi patel of Muttighatta
Lingappi Honkeriappi
Visi K Happi
Chanapuni Ramayya

8 Secondly, as against the Archak —It is complained that the present Archak of the temple frequently absents himself from his duties, and unwarrantedly raises subscriptions on the pretext of consecrating certain new idols in the temple With reference to this point, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the pay of the Archak is Rs 3 per mensem, that besides this, he has been getting an annual income of Rs 6 from a certain land dedicated to the temple by one Ramanna of Madderu in the Holalkere Taluk (now deceased) but that both the above items of income being hardly sufficient to maintain his family, he is reported to have been absenting himself in view to supplement his income from other sources He further reports that it is not possible to find a separate competent Archak who will stick to the post on the present meagre remuneration and therefore recommends that the Archak's pay may be raised to Rs 5 per mensem Under the circumstances reported the recommendation is sanctioned, the disbursement of the increased pay being made to take effect from the 1st July 1908 The Archak should be warned that he should not in future absent himself to the detriment of the temple worship, and give room to any further complaint

9 Lastly, as against the temple servants —It is observed that the only petition received against them is from the Dharmadarsis, who state that these servants are trying to monopolise the whole Kanike receipts of the temple for their own use On the other hand, the *Sameyas* themselves petition stating that properly speaking they alone are entitled to the Kanikes as the services are rendered under their direction themselves, assuming a priestly function in this respect and that without their aid no services can be performed by the devotees and therefore pray that the Dharmadarsis should be relieved of their control over the levy of the Kanikes As regards this point the Deputy Commissioner reports that the complaint in question is a long standing one When complaints were made in 1899 that the *Sameyas* were misappropriating the Kanikes these latter protested and prayed for permission to appropriate to themselves the fees collected from the devotees and offered even to deposit a sum of Rs 250 in the Savings Bank for the interest to be realised thereon being utilized for temple purposes in return for the grant of the permission sought for This offer was not accepted and it was directed in the Government Order of the 6th September 1899 read above that no part of the Kanike amount should be allowed to be appropriated by any class of temple servants and that the collection of the fees noted in the margin should be entrusted to the Dharmadarsis The Deputy Commissioner further reports that on an average an income of Rs 18 is annually derived from this source, that the levy of the fees has become a source of vexation and therefore recommends that in the interests of the temple and the servants concerned, this

1 Mude
2 Dindu oodu
3 Bavibegi
4 Chikkunkita
5 Bande

Muzrai, dated 17th June 1908.

source of income to the Institution may be done away with and in its stead Devakanikes in accordance with the following scale may be levied —

	Rs	a	p
Offering of cocoanuts, plantains, etc	0	0	3
Offering Yede	0	0	6
Abhishekam with water	0	1	0
Do Panchamruta	0	2	0
Puja with thulasi or flowers	0	0	6
Palaki Utsavam	1	0	0
Adde do	0	8	0
Garudotsavam	2	0	0
Other Utsavams	0	8	0

The Deputy Commissioner further states that if the above Kanikes are levied the income from them may come up to Rs 20 a year and that the devotees will raise no objection to the levy Under the circumstances reported the Government are pleased to direct that in supersession of the orders contained in the Government Order of the 6th September 1899 read above, the *Samarayas* be allowed to appropriate to themselves the income from the Panchabab referred to above In substitution of the income to the temple from the aforesaid source, *viz*, Panchabab, the Government are pleased to sanction the levy of the Devakanikes recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, the income derived therefrom being credited to the funds of the temple

10 In conclusion, the Deputy Commissioner reports that the villagers represented to him that the tasdik of Rs 87-10 0 provided for expenses during the car festival is quite inadequate as the prices of all the articles have gone up very high and that they prayed that the allotment might be raised to Rs 100 to meet all the charges He further states that though a sum of Rs 27-8 0 has been provided for the maintenance of nandadipam in the temple, yet as Dharmadarsi Ranganna has been maintaing a nandadipam from the interest on the sum of Rs 200 he has borrowed from Dodnarasiah referred to in para 4 above only an expenditure of Rs 12 12 0 is being incurred on account of nandadipam from the temple funds He therefore recommends that from the savings of Rs 14 12 0 thus accruing may be met the excess charge of Rs 12-6 0 required to make up the full amount of Rs 100 for the expenses of the car festival He also reports that when the sum of Rs 200 is recovered from Ranganna as ordered by him it will be utilized for the continuance of the nandadipam. The foregoing proposals of the Deputy Commissioner appear suitable and are accordingly sanctioned

11 The scale of receipts and expenditure of the temple as revised in the foregoing paras which are embodied in the appended statement are sanctioned tentatively for a period of five years as recommended and the Deputy Commissioner is requested to report at the end of that period as to how the revised scheme works

Secy, Mu rai Dept

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District returning enclosures
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Exd —c s

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

RECEIPTS					EXPENDITURE			
Items			Amount	Remarks	Items			Amount
			Rs a p					Rs a p
( ) Grant			58 1 0		Nandadipam			12 12 0
Interest on Government of India Treasury Bills			91 4 0	176 8 0 on Rs 2 200 17 12 0 on Rs 308 13 2	Paditara allowance			11 5 4
					Ugadi festival			2 0 0
Work			20 0 0		Saturday Seva			14 4 0
Contributions credited by Panchamsa			6 0 0		Chandradarsana days expenses			10 0 0
Contributions credited by the devotees—					Sri Jayanti Seva			10 9 4
	Rs a p				Narasimha Jayanti Seva			9 4 8
Nitya Seva	14 4 0				Purattasi Kadasi days expenses			1 0 0
Monthly expenses	10 0 0				Karkatalasankramana Utsava			2 0 0
Navaratri Seva	10 0 0				Gokulashtami Utsava			6 4 8
Navaratri Parattu Seva	3 0 0				Navaratri and Vedanta Desikar's Tirunakshatram			12 14 6
Sankatahara Utsava	6 0 0		129 4 0		Narasimhachaturdasi Utsava			1 5 8
Navaratri Utsava	1 5 8				Uttaradwadasi Utsava			3 10 2
Kalyanotsava	10 2				Kruttikotsava			8 7 3
Utsava	7 0 0				Dhanurmasa Sankranti Utsava			4 7 3
Tirtha	1 13 2				Rathasaptami Utsava			1 13 2
Hundi	66 14 0				Vasantotsava Utsava			2 0 0
					Car festival			100 0 0
					Pay of the Archak			66 0 0
					Other establishment charges			22 8 0
					Savings	Total		305 10 0 2 10 0
	Total		308 4 0			Total		308 4 0

1907-08

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 17th June 1908

No — 5652-3 / Muz. F. 76-93

Passing orders *re* the affairs of the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami temple at Horakeredevarpura, Holalkere Taluk and revising the scale of expenditure.

GPB—17-7-08—200

Draft for approval.

Mysore 22/6

Notification

The undermentioned ~~persons~~ persons are appointed Dharmadarsis to supervise the affairs of the Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami temple at Horakeredevarapura, Holalkere Taluk, in the room of those removed from efflux of time or other cause:—

1. M.R.Ry Seshappa, Shanbhogu
2. " Samarangappa, Patel of Mattigatta
3. " Burugina Horakerappa.
4. " Absi Kallappa.
5. " Chennappagata Rangaiya

By Order,

Secretary

5654
17.6.1908

22/6/08

6/6/08

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette,
for publication.

25/6/08

Copy to the D.Commr., Chitaldrug, with reference to his letter No 472, dated 25th April 1908, and in continuation of Govt. Pro. No dated

5655
17-6-1908

By Order,

Secretary.